

References

- Ashley, K. (1990). *Modeling legal argument. Reasoning with cases and hypotheticals*. The MIT Press, Cambridge (Massachusetts).
- Bench-Capon, T.J.M. (1995). Argument in Artificial Intelligence and Law. *Legal knowledge based systems. Telecommunication and AI & Law* (eds. J.C. Hage, T.J.M. Bench-Capon, M.J. Cohen and H.J. van den Herik), pp. 5-14. Koninklijke Vermande, Lelystad.
- Bench-Capon, T.J.M., and Coenen, F.P. (1992). Isomorphism and legal knowledge based systems. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Vol. 1, pp. 65-86.
- Bondarenko, A., Toni, F., and Kowalski, R.A. (1993). An assumption-based framework for non-monotonic reasoning. *Logic programming and non-monotonic reasoning. Proceedings of the second international workshop* (eds. L.M. Pereira and A. Nerode), pp. 171-189. The MIT Press, Cambridge (Massachusetts).
- Brewka, G. (1994). A Reconstruction of Rescher's Theory of Formal Disputation Based on Default Logic. *ECAI 94. 11th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (ed. A.G. Cohn), pp. 366-370. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Copi, I.M. (1982). *Introduction to logic. Sixth edition*. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York (New York).
- Dalen, D. van (1983). *Logic and Structure. Second Edition*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Davis, E. (1990). *Representations of Commonsense Knowledge*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Mateo (California).
- Davis, M. (1993). First order logic. *Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming. Volume 1. Logical Foundations* (eds. D.M. Gabbay, C.J. Hogger and J.A. Robinson), pp. 31-65. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Dawkins, R. (1989). *The Selfish Gene. New Edition*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Delgrande, J. (1988). An approach to default reasoning based on a first-order conditional logic: revised report. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36, pp. 63-90.
- Dung, P.M. (1993). On the acceptability of arguments and its fundamental role in nonmonotonic reasoning and logic programming. *IJCAI-93. Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (ed. Ruzena Bajcsy), pp. 852-857. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Mateo (California).
- Dung, P.M. (1995). On the acceptability of arguments and its fundamental role in nonmonotonic reasoning, logic programming and *n*-person games. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 77, pp. 321-357.

- Dworkin, R. (1978). *Taking Rights Seriously. New Impression with a Reply to Critics*. Duckworth, London.
- Eemeren, F.H. van, Grootendorst, R., and Kruiger, T. (1981). *Argumentatietheorie*. Uitgeverij Het Spectrum, Utrecht.
- Eemeren, F.H. van, Grootendorst, R. and Kruiger, T. (1987). *Handbook of Argumentation Theory. A Critical Survey of Classical Backgrounds and Modern Studies*. Foris Publications, Dordrecht. Translation of Van Eemeren *et al.* (1981).
- Fuller, L.L. (1958). Positivism and fidelity to law: A reply to Professor Hart. *Harvard Law Review*, Vol. 71, pp. 630-672.
- Gabbay, D.M., Hogger, C.J., and Robinson, J.A. (eds.) (1993). *Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming. Volume 1. Logical Foundations*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Gabbay, D.M., Hogger, C.J., and Robinson, J.A. (eds.) (1994a). *Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming. Volume 2. Deduction Methodologies*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Gabbay, D.M., Hogger, C.J., and Robinson, J.A. (eds.) (1994b). *Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming. Volume 3. Nonmonotonic Reasoning and Uncertain Reasoning*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Gabbay, D.M., and Ohlbach, H.J. (eds.) (1996). *Practical Reasoning. International Conference on Formal and Applied Practical Reasoning (FAPR '96; Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 1085)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Geffner, H., and Pearl, J. (1992). Conditional entailment: bridging two approaches to default reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 53, pp. 209-244.
- Ginsberg, M.L. (ed.) (1987). *Readings in Nonmonotonic Reasoning*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Los Altos (California).
- Gordon, T.F. (1993a). *The Pleadings Game. An Artificial Intelligence Model of Procedural Justice*. Dissertation.
- Gordon, T.F. (1993b). The Pleadings Game. Formalizing procedural justice. *Fourth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law. Proceedings of the Conference*, pp. 10-19. ACM, New York (New York).
- Gordon, T.F. (1995). *The Pleadings Game. An Artificial Intelligence Model of Procedural Justice*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Haack, S. (1978). *Philosophy of logics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hage, J.C. (1991). Monological reason based reasoning. *Legal knowledge based systems. Model-based legal reasoning* (eds. J.A. Breuker, R.V. de Mulder and J.C. Hage), pp. 77-91. Vermande, Lelystad.
- Hage, J.C. (1993). Monological reason based logic. A low level integration of rule-based reasoning and case-based reasoning. *The Fourth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law. Proceedings of the Conference*, pp. 30-39. ACM, New York (New York). Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/93-08.

-
- Hage, J.C. (1995). Teleological reasoning in Reason-Based Logic. *The Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law. Proceedings of the Conference*, pp. 11-20. ACM, New York (New York).
- Hage, J.C., Leenes, R., and Lodder, A.R. (1994). Hard Cases: A Procedural Approach. *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, Vol. 2, pp. 113-167.
- Hage, J.C. and Verheij, B. (1994a). Reason-based Logic: a logic for reasoning with rules and reasons. *Law, Computers and Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 3, No. 2/3, pp. 171-209. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/94-10.
- Hage, J.C., and Verheij, B. (1994b). Towards a logic for reasoning with norms. *ECAI'94 Workshop W9, Artificial Normative Reasoning* (ed. J. Breuker), pp. 160-177. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/94-12.
- Hage, J.C., Verheij, B., and Lodder, A.R. (1993). Reason based logic. A logic that deals with rules and reasons. *Working Papers NAIC '93* (eds. J.M. Akkermans and J.A. Breuker), pp. 293-304. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/93-19.
- Hayes, P.J. (1985). The Second Naive Physics Manifesto. *Formal Theories of the Commonsense World* (eds. J.R. Hobbs and R.C. Moore), pp. 1-36. Ablex Publishing Corporation, Norwood (New Jersey).
- Herik, H.J. van den (1991). *Kunnen computers rechtspreken?* Gouda Quint, Arnhem.
- Hobbs, J.R., and Moore, R.C. (eds.) (1985). *Formal Theories of the Commonsense World*. Ablex Publishing Corporation, Norwood (New Jersey).
- Kraus, S., Lehmann, D., and Magidor, M. (1990). Nonmonotonic reasoning, preferential models and cumulative logics. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 44, pp. 167-207.
- Leenes, R.E., Lodder, A.R., and Hage, J.C. (1994). A Dialogue Game for Legal Arguments. *Law, Computers & Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 3, No. 2/3, pp. 112-122. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/94-06.
- Lin, F., and Shoham, Y. (1989). Argument Systems: a uniform basis for nonmonotonic reasoning. *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning* (eds. R.J. Brachman, H.J. Levesque and R. Reiter), pp. 245-255. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Mateo (California).
- Lin, F. (1993). An argument-based approach to nonmonotonic reasoning. *Computational Intelligence*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 254-267.
- Lodder, A.R., and Herczog, A. (1995). DiaLaw. A dialogical framework for modeling legal reasoning. *The Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law. Proceedings of the Conference*, pp. 146-155. ACM, New York (New York).
- Lodder, A.R. (1996). The ideas behind DiaLaw, a procedural model for legal justification. *Proceedings of the Fifth National/First European Conference on Law, Computers and Artificial Intelligence* (eds. I. Carr and A. Narayanan), pp. 93-103. Exeter, England. An abstract is available on the World-Wide Web at <http://www.metajur.unimaas.nl/~arno/exeter96.htm>.

- Loui, R.P. (1987). Defeat among arguments: a system of defeasible inference. *Computational Intelligence*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 100-106.
- Loui, R.P. (1991). Argument and belief: Where we stand in the Keynesian tradition. *Minds and machines*, Vol. 1, pp. 357-365.
- Loui, R.P. (1992). Process and Policy: Resource-Bounded Non-Demonstrative Reasoning. *Report WUCS-92-43*. Washington University, Department of Computer Science, Saint Louis (Missouri).
- Loui, R.P. (1995a). Hart's critics on defeasible concepts and ascriptivism. *The Fifth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law. Proceedings of the Conference*, pp. 21-30. ACM, New York (New York).
- Loui, R.P. (1995b). The Workshop on Computational Dialectics. *AI Magazine*, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 101-104.
- Loui, R.P., and Chen, W. (1992). An Argument Game. *Report WUCS-92-47*. Department of Computer Science, Washington University, Saint Louis (Missouri).
- Lukasiewicz, W. (1990). *Non-monotonic reasoning. Formalization of commonsense reasoning*. Ellis Horwood, New York (New York).
- Makinson, D. (1994). General Patterns in Nonmonotonic Reasoning. *Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming. Volume 3. Nonmonotonic Reasoning and Uncertain Reasoning* (eds. D.M. Gabbay, C.J. Hogger and J.A. Robinson), pp. 35-110. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Naess, A. (1978). *Elementaire argumentatieleer*. Ambo, Baarn.
- Nute, D. (1980). *Topics in conditional logic*. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht.
- Nute, D. (1988). Defeasible reasoning: a philosophical analysis in Prolog. *Aspects of Artificial Intelligence* (ed. James H. Fetzer), pp. 251-288. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Nute, D. (1994). Defeasible Logic. *Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming. Volume 3. Nonmonotonic Reasoning and Uncertain Reasoning* (eds. D.M. Gabbay, C.J. Hogger and J.A. Robinson), pp. 353-395. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Perlis, D. and Subrahmanian, V.S. (1994). Meta-languages, Reflection Principles and Self-Reference. *Handbook of Logic in Artificial Intelligence and Logic Programming. Volume 2. Deduction Methodologies* (eds. D.M. Gabbay, C.J. Hogger and J.A. Robinson), pp. 323-358. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Pollock, J.L. (1986). *Contemporary Theories of Knowledge*. Rowman & Littlefield, Totowa (New Jersey).
- Pollock, J.L. (1987). Defeasible reasoning. *Cognitive Science*, Vol. 11, pp. 481-518.
- Pollock, J.L. (1991a). A theory of defeasible reasoning. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, Vol. 6, pp. 33-54.
- Pollock, J.L. (1991b). Self-defeating arguments. *Minds and Machines*, Vol. 1, pp. 367-392.

-
- Pollock, J.L. (1992). How to reason defeasibly. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 57, pp. 1-42.
- Pollock, J.L. (1994). Justification and defeat. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 67, pp. 377-407.
- Pollock, J.L. (1995). *Cognitive Carpentry: A Blueprint for How to Build a Person*. The MIT Press, Cambridge (Massachusetts).
- Poole, D. (1988). A logical framework for default reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 36, pp. 27-47.
- Prakken, H. (1993a). *Logical tools for modelling legal argument*. Doctoral thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.
- Prakken, H. (1993b). A logical framework for modelling legal argument. *The Fourth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law. Proceedings of the Conference*, pp. 1-9. ACM, New York (New York).
- Prakken, H. (1995). A semantic view on reasoning about priorities (extended abstract). *Dutch/German Workshop on Nonmonotonic Reasoning. Proceedings of the Second Workshop*, pp. 152-159. Delft University of Technology, Universiteit Utrecht.
- Prakken, H., and Sartor, G. (1996). A system for defeasible argumentation, with defeasible priorities. *Practical Reasoning. International Conference on Formal and Applied Practical Reasoning (FAPR '96; Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, Vol. 1085)* (eds. D.M. Gabbay and H.J. Ohlbach), pp. 510-524. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Purtill, R.L. (1979). *Logic. Argument, refutation, and proof*. Harper & Row, New York (New York).
- Raz, J. (1990). *Practical Reason and Norms*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (New Jersey).
- Read, S. (1995). *Thinking About Logic. An Introduction to the Philosophy of Logic*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Reiter, R. (1980). A Logic for Default Reasoning. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 13, pp. 81-132.
- Reiter, R. (1987). A Logic for Default Reasoning. *Readings in Nonmonotonic Reasoning* (ed. M.L. Ginsberg), pp. 68-93. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Los Altos (California). Reprinted from Reiter (1980).
- Reiter, R., and Criscuolo, G. (1981). On interacting defaults. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI 81)*, pp. 270-276. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Los Altos (California).
- Reiter, R., and Criscuolo, G. (1987). On interacting defaults. *Readings in Nonmonotonic Reasoning* (ed. M.L. Ginsberg), pp. 94-100. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, Los Altos (California). Reprinted from Reiter and Criscuolo (1981).
- Rescher, N. (1977). *Dialectics. A controversy-oriented approach to the theory of knowledge*. State University of New York Press, Albany (New York).
- Rescher, N. (1988). *Rationality. A Philosophical Inquiry into the Nature and the Rationale of Reason*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.

- Sanford, D.H. (1989). *If P, then Q. Conditionals and the Foundations of Reasoning*. Routledge, London.
- Sartor, G. (1994). A formal model of legal argumentation. *Ratio Juris*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 177-211.
- Shoham, Y. (1988). *Reasoning about change. Time and causation from the standpoint of artificial intelligence*. The MIT Press, Cambridge (Massachusetts).
- Simari, G.R., and Loui, R.P. (1992). A mathematical treatment of defeasible reasoning and its applications. *Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 53, pp. 125-157.
- Soeteman, A. (1991). Hercules aan het werk. Over de rol van rechtsbeginselen in het recht. *Rechtsbeginselen*, pp. 41-56. Ars Aequi, Nijmegen.
- Stewart, I. (1996). *From here to infinity. A guide to today's mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Tiscornia, D. (1994). Three meanings of analogical reasoning in law. *Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Law, Computers and Artificial Intelligence* (eds. I. Carr and A. Narayanan), pp. 137-153. University of Exeter.
- Toulmin, S.E. (1958). *The uses of argument*. University Press, Cambridge.
- Verheij, B. (1993). *Reason Based Logic in Law*. Report SKBS/B3.A/93-18. Also published as Verheij (1995d).
- Verheij, B. (1994). Reason Based Logic and legal knowledge representation. *Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Law, Computers and Artificial Intelligence* (eds. I. Carr and A. Narayanan), pp. 154-165. University of Exeter. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/94-05.
- Verheij, B. (1995a). Accrual of arguments in defeasible argumentation. *Dutch/German Workshop on Nonmonotonic Reasoning. Proceedings of the Second Workshop*, pp. 217-224. Delft University of Technology, Universiteit Utrecht. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/95-01.
- Verheij, B. (1995b). The influence of defeated arguments in defeasible argumentation. *WOCFAI 95. Proceedings of the Second World Conference on the Fundamentals of Artificial Intelligence* (eds. M. De Glas and Z. Pawlak), pp. 429-440. Angkor, Paris. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/95-03. An abstract is available on the World-Wide Web at <http://www.cs.unimaas.nl/~verheij/papers/wocfai95.htm>.
- Verheij, B. (1995c). Arguments and defeat in argument-based nonmonotonic reasoning. *Progress in Artificial Intelligence. 7th Portuguese Conference on Artificial Intelligence (EPIA '95; Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 990)* (eds. Carlos Pinto-Ferreira and Nuno J. Mamede), pp. 213-224. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/95-04. An abstract is available on the World-Wide Web at <http://www.cs.unimaas.nl/~verheij/papers/epia95.htm>.
- Verheij, B. (1995d). Reason Based Logic in Law. *Verso un sistema esperto giuridico integrale* (eds. C. Ciampi, F. Socci Natali and G. Taddei Elmi), pp. 681-693. CEDAM, Padova. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/93-18 (Verheij, 1993).

-
- Verheij, B. (1995e). *Rules and reasons: from ontology to rational standards for defeasible reasoning*. Published as report SKBS/B3.A/95-07.
- Verheij, B. (1996a). Two approaches to dialectical argumentation: admissible sets and argumentation stages. Presented at the *Computational Dialectics Workshop* at FAPR-96. June 3-7, 1996, Bonn. Published as report SKBS/B3.A/96-01. An abstract is available on the World-Wide Web at <http://www.cs.unimaas.nl/~verheij/papers/cd96.htm>. The full text is available on the World-Wide Web at <http://nathan.gmd.de/projects/zeno/fapr/programme.html>.
- Verheij, B. (1996b). An integrated view on rules and principles. To be presented at the *Ninth International Conference on Legal Knowledge-Based Systems (JURIX '96)*. Friday, December 13, 1996, Tilburg. Published as report SKBS/B3.A/96-05.
- Verheij, B., and Hage, J.C. (1994). Reasoning by analogy: a formal reconstruction. *Legal knowledge based systems. The relation with legal theory* (eds. H. Prakken, A.J. Muntjewerff and A. Soeteman), pp. 65-78. Koninklijke Vermande, Lelystad. Also published as report SKBS/B3.A/94-14.
- Visser, P.R.S. (1995). *Knowledge Specification for Multiple Legal Tasks. A Case Study of the Interaction Problem in the Legal Domain*. Doctoral thesis, Leiden University, Leiden.
- Vreeswijk, G. (1991). Abstract argumentation systems: preliminary report. *Proceedings of the First World Conference on the Fundamentals of Artificial Intelligence* (eds. D.M. Gabbay and M. De Glas), pp. 501-510. Angkor, Paris.
- Vreeswijk, G. (1993). *Studies in defeasible argumentation*. Doctoral thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.
- Vreeswijk, G. (1995). Representation of Formal Dispute with a Standing Order. *MATRIKS Reports in Knowledge Engineering*. MAAstricht Technological Research Institute for Knowledge and Systems, Maastricht. An abstract is available on the World-Wide Web at <http://www.cs.unimaas.nl/~vreeswyk/abstracts/pps.htm>.
- Yoshino, H., Haraguchi, M., Sakurai, S., and Kagayama, S. (1993). Towards a legal analogical reasoning system: knowledge representation and reasoning methods. *The Fourth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law. Proceedings of the Conference*, pp. 110-116. ACM, New York (New York).

