

Predictive Coding as a Computational Theory for Open-Ended Cognitive Development

Yukie Nagai The University of Tokyo

IROS 2019 Workshop on Open-Ended Learning for Object Perception and Grasping: Current Successes and Future Challenges @ Macau, China, November 8, 2019

Altruistic Behavior by 14-month-old Infants [Warneken & Tomasello, 2007]

Warneken & Tomasello

Two Important Aspects in Cognitive Development



Predictive Coding: A Principle of Human Brain

[Friston et al., 2006; Friston, 2010; Clark, 2013]

• The human brain perceives the world (i.e., perceptual inference) and acts on the world (i.e., active inference) so as to minimize prediction errors.



Optical Illusion Generated by Predictive Brain



Which area is lighter, A or B?

A = B

Cognitive Development Based on Predictive Learning [Nagai, Phil Trans B 2019]

- Infants acquire various cognitive abilities through learning to minimize prediction errors:
- (a) *Updating the internal model* through own sensorimotor experiences
 - Non-social (i.e., self-oriented) behaviors



(b) *Generating actions* to alter sensory signals
Proto-social (i.e., other-oriented) behaviors



Cognitive Development Based on Predictive Learning [Nagai, Phil Trans B 2019]

- Infants acquire various cognitive abilities through learning to minimize prediction errors:
- (a) *Updating the internal model* through own sensorimotor experiences
 - Non-social (i.e., self-oriented) behaviors

(b) *Generating actions* to alter sensory signals
– Proto-social (i.e., other-oriented) behaviors





Open-Ended Cognitive Development in Robots

Action Production Facilitates Action Perception in Infants

[Sommerville et al., 2005; Gerson & Woodward, 2014]

• 3-month-old infants detect the *goal-directed structure of others' actions* only when they were given experiences of *generating the same actions*.



w/o action experience

w/ action experience

Mirror Neurons [Rizzolatti et al., 1996; 2001]



- Originally found in monkey's premotor cortex
- Discharge both:
 - when *executing* own actions
 - when *observing* the same action performed by other individuals
- Understand others' action and intention based on self's motor representation

Predictive Learning for Action Production and Perception



Action production:

• Predictive learning (i.e.,

minimizing $\|x_{in} - x_{pre}\|$) to associate *visual*, *tactile*, and *proprioceptive* signals

[Copete, Nagai, & Asada, ICDL-EpiRob 2016]

Predictive Learning for Action Production and Perception



Action production:

 Predictive learning (i.e., minimizing ||x_{in} - x_{pre}||) to associate *visual*, *tactile*, and *proprioceptive* signals

Action perception:

 Visual action prediction facilitated by imaginary tactile and proprioceptive signals

Result 1: Prediction of Observed Action





Predicted image



Input/output signals

- Vision: camera image (30 dim)
- Tactile: on/off (3 dim)
- Proprioception: joint angles of the arm (4 dim)

 \dots for T = 30 steps

Assumption

• Shared viewpoint between self and other

Predicted image	Classification of prediction
2	Correct goal
	Incorrect goal
	No goal

[Copete, Nagai, & Asada, ICDL-EpiRob 2016]

Result 2: Prediction Accuracy Improved by Action Generation

Learning through action generation





... action observation







Reaching for left Reaching for center Reaching for right

[Copete, Nagai, & Asada, ICDL-EpiRob 2016]

Robot's vision

Emergence of Helping Behavior Based on Minimization of Prediction Error [Baraglia, Nagai, & Asada, TCDS 2016; Baraglia et al., IJRR 2017]

Prediction-error





Prof. Emre Ugur Prof. Erhan Oztop

Open-Ended Affordance Learning in Robots



Staged Development of Robot Skills







Naive tutor gradually learns how the robot learns to imitate

- I. Discovery of behavior primitives
- A robot equipped with reflexes learns to discover behavior primitives by exploring its parameter space.

- 2. Affordance learning
 - The robot executes the discovered behavior primitives on different objects and learns the cause-and-effect relationship (i.e., affordance).

- 3. Imitation learning through social interaction
 - The robot imitates actions presented by tutors by exploiting learned affordances.

[Ugur, Nagai, Sahin, & Oztop, IEEE TAMD 2015]

Stage 1: Discovery of Behavior Primitives



Explore parameter space of reach-grasp reflex

- Inherent reflex behaviors (swiping and grasping) are executed on an object using different parameters:
 - Target position
 - Initial and end positions of the hand
 - Open and close states of the hand
- Behavior primitives **b**_i are discovered based on the similarity of the tactile profile **T**_{traj}:

$$\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{I} \leftarrow X - \text{means}\left(\left\{\boldsymbol{T}_{\text{traj}}^{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}\right)$$

Result 1: Behavior Primitives Discovered through Explorations



Four primitives:

- Push: temporary touch of fingers
- No-touch: no touch
- Release: temporary activation of fingers and palm
- Grasp: activation of fingers and palm until final position

- hand trajectories
 finger touch
- *palm touch*

[Ugur, Nagai, Sahin, & Oztop, IEEE TAMD 2015]

Stage 2: Affordance Learning



- Affordances (*f*_{init}, *b_i*, *f*_{effect}) are acquired by executing the behavior primitives *b_i* on different objects with different features *f* (e.g., size, position, etc.):
 (*f*_{init}, *b_i*, *f*_{effect}) where *f*_{effect} = *f*_{end} *f*_{init}
- Effects *f* effect are learned to be predicted by further exploring *b_i* with different end positions:

 $\langle \boldsymbol{f}_{\text{init}}, \boldsymbol{b}_i \rangle \rightarrow \boldsymbol{f}_{\text{effect}}(\boldsymbol{f}_{\text{end}})$

Result 2: Affordance and Effect Prediction



Three affordances:

- Pushability
- Rollability
- Graspability

Effect predictions:

 Effects *f* effect (e.g., object position) is properly predicted based on acquired affordances.

Stage 3: Imitation Learning through Social Interaction

- Affordances involving multiple objects are learned through social interaction using the acquired singleobject affordances.
- Sub-goals (i.e., $f_{init}, \dots, f_n, \dots, f_{end}$) to be imitated are extracted based on single-object affordances.





Finally, tutor displays motionese enabling successful imitation

Result 3: Impact of Social Interaction on Demonstrations

• Roundabout ratio: *lower* \rightarrow *higher*

• Duration of demonstration: *shorter* \rightarrow *longer*





[Ugur, Nagai, Sahin, & Oztop, IEEE TAMD 2015]

Conclusion

Cognitive Development Based on Predictive Learning [Nagai, Phil Trans B 2019]

- Infants acquire various cognitive abilities through learning to minimize prediction errors:
- (a) *Updating the internal model* through own sensorimotor experiences
 - Non-social (i.e., self-oriented) behaviors

(b) *Generating actions* to alter sensory signals
– Proto-social (i.e., other-oriented) behaviors





PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS B

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rstb



Check for updates

Cite this article: Nagai Y. 2019 Predictive learning: its key role in early cognitive development. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **374**: 20180030. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2018.0030

Accepted: 05 January 2019

One contribution of 17 to a theme issue 'From social brains to social robots: applying

Predictive learning: its key role in early cognitive development

Yukie Nagai

National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

🔟 YN, 0000-0003-4794-0940

What is a fundamental ability for cognitive development? Although many researchers have been addressing this question, no shared understanding has been acquired yet. We propose that predictive learning of sensorimotor signals plays a key role in early cognitive development. The human brain is known to represent sensorimotor signals in a predictive manner, i.e. it attempts to minimize prediction error between incoming sensory signals and top–down prediction. We extend this view and suggest that two mechanisms for minimizing prediction error lead to the development of cognitive abilities during early infancy. The first mechanism is to update an immature predictor. The predictor must be trained through sensorimotor experiences because it does not inherently have prediction ability. The second mechanism is to execute an action anticipated by the predictor. Interacting with other individuals often increases prediction error, which can be minimized by executing one's own action communicate an endated of the second mechanism is a setter of the second mechanism is to execute an action anticipated by the predictor. Interacting with other individuals often increases prediction error, which can be minimized by executing one's own action actions are predicted by the predictor.



University of Tokyo

- Anja Philippsen
- Yanggang Feng
- Shinichiro Kumagaya
- Satsuki Ayaya
 NCNP
- Yuichi Yamashita

Osaka University

- Minoru Asada
- Jimmy Baraglia (-2016.11)
- Takato Horii
- Shibo Qin (-2015.03)
- Jorge L. Copete (-2019.03)
- Jyh-Jong Hsieh
- Kyoichiro Kobayashi (-2019.03)

Bogazici University Emre Ugur Ozyegin University Erhan Oztop





nagai.yukie@mail.u-tokyo.ac.jp | http://developmental-robotics.jp