Exercises for FLL, Fall 2018, sheet 3 – Solutions

Return Thu Oct 4, in class. As always in this course you may work in teams of two if you wish – but not larger teams.

Exercise 1. Design an ε -NFA that accepts the language denoted by $(((\varepsilon+a)bb)^*)a^*$. Represent your automaton by a transition diagram.

Solution. The diagram shows a possible ε -NFA for our language.



Exercise 2. Give a regular expression which describes the language accepted by the following ϵ -NFA:



Solution: Using the procedure from the LN, in step 1 we merge away state 2 (and re-write every link label as a regexp, saving some parentheses and some redundant ε), giving



In step 2 we get rid of state 3, yielding



Then we merge away state 5:



This gives the final regexp

(ab(a + (a+b)b)*(a+b)b + ab)(a+(a+b)b)*(a+b)b

which is written here using some parentheses omitted. Note 1: this regexp can be further simplified a little. Note 2: if you choose another order of deleting states, you will get a different-looking regexp.

Exercise 3. Is the language $L = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* | w \text{ contains an equal number of 0's and 1's} \}$ regular? Prove your answer.

Solution. Language is not regular. Proof via PL. Assume it is regular and PL holds. Let *n* be PL constant. Then $w = 0^n 1^n \in L$. But according to PL, some nonempty subword of the first part $y = 0^m$ exists such that also $0^{n+m} 1^n \in L$, which it isn't, contradiction, thus *L* no regular.s

Exercise 4 [optional]. Prove that the language $L = \{0^n | n = pq \text{ for two primes } p, q\}$ is not regular.

Solution. Assume *L* is regular. Then by the pumping lemma, there exists a constant *c* such that, if $w \in L$, |w| > c, *w* can be written as uvx, r = |v| > 0, such that $uv^i x \in L$ for all $i \ge 0$. Let *p*, *q* such that n = pq > c. Then $0^{pq} \in L$ and by the pumping lemma, $0^{pq+ir} \in L$ for all $i \ge 0$. Specifically, for i = pq we obtain $0^{pq(1+r)} \in L$. But pq(1+r) is not the product of two primes, so by the definition of *L*, $0^{pq(1+r)} \notin L$. Contradiction, therefore the assumption that *L* is regular is wrong, therefore *L* is not regular.

Exercise 5. Prove the following claim:

Let *M* be some regular language over $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$. Define $L_{|M|} = \{0^n \in \{0\}^* | n = |v| \text{ for some word } v \in M\}$. Then *L* is regular.

Note: this is easy to solve using the tool of language homomorphisms – leads to a one-line proof. It is also possible to solve this problem without homomorphisms, also not difficult, though a bit more lengthy.

Solution. The conjecture is true. Proof with homomorphisms: Consider the (unique!) homomorphism $h: \{0,1\} \rightarrow \{0\}$. Then $L_{|M|} = h(M)$ and by Proposition 3.11, $L_{|M|}$ is regular. Proof without homomorphims: Let A be a DFA for M. Relabel all "1"-transitions in A by 0, obtain an NFA A'. This NFA accepts $L_{|M|}$. To see this, first consider some $v \in M$. It has a path in A that ends in an accepting state; the same path in A' leads the input word $0^{|v|}$ to the same accepting state. This if n = |v| for some word $v \in M$, $0^n \in L(A')$, that is, $L_{|M|} \subseteq L(A')$. Conversely, let $0^n \in L(A')$. Then there is a path of 0-transitions of length *n* in *A'* that leads from the starting state to some accepting state. If you replace the 0's on this path that were obtained from 1 -> 0 relabelings by the original 1's, connecting the {0, 1} transitions on this path you get a word $v \in M$, n = |v|. Hence $L(A') \subseteq L_{|M|}$. Therefore, $L(A') = L_{|M|}$ and $L_{|M|}$ is regular.

Exercise 6 A sequence language L over Σ is a language with two properties: (i) for each $n \ge 0$, there exists exactly one word in L of that length; (ii) if $u, v \in L$, $|u| \le |v|$, then u is a prefix (= initial subword) of v.

- **a.** Give an example of a regular sequence language.
- **b.** Prove that every regular sequence language L is ultimately cyclic, that is, there exist words w and v such that L is the set of all initial substrings of the infinite sequence *wvvvv*... . *Hint*: you will benefit from the PL here.

Note. This was a midterm question in a long-time-ago FLL course.

Solution. a. The simplest example surely is the language $L(1^*)$.

b. Let *L* be a regular sequence language. Because *L* is regular, the PL holds for *L*. Let *n* be a PL constant for *L*. Because a sequence language is infinite, there must exist some *w* in *L* with $|w| \ge n$. By the PL, *w* can be split into w = xyz, where |y| > 0, $|xy| \le n$, and every $xy^k z$ (where $k \ge 0$) is in *L*. Thus *L* contains all the words *xz*, *xyz*, *xyyz*, *xyyyz*, etc. Because in a sequence language *L* it holds that if $u \in L$, $v \in L$, |v| < |u|, then u = vx for some *x*, we can conclude from $xy^k z \in L$ that $x, xy, xyy, ..., xy^k \in L$. Because $xy^k z \in L$ for all *k*, we conclude that $xy^k \in L$ for all *k*. By the fact that sequence languages are closed under initial subwords, it follows that all initial strings of the infinite sequence xyyy... are in *L*. Because *L* is a sequence language, no other words can be in *L*. Thus *L* is the language of all initial substrings of *xyyy...*, hence *L* is ultimately cyclic.