Assignment 2 Spam Filtering Using a Naive Bayes Text Classifier

Marius Bulacu AI Institute, Groningen University, The Netherlands

November 22, 2005

1 The data and the java class

The data necessary for this programming assignment consists of a collection of e-mail messages arbitrarily divided into 2 directories: train/ and test/. Each of these 2 directories contain 2 subdirectories: regular/ and spam/. These subdirectories in turn contain the e-mail messages as separate ASCII text files. The data is therefore organized as follows:

```
/train/regular/regular-0001.msg
/regular-0002.msg
/...
/spam/spam-0001.msg
/spam-0002.msg
/...
/test/regular/regular-1001.msg
/regular-1002.msg
/...
/spam/spam-1001.msg
/spam-1002.msg
/...
```

The java class provided for this programming assignment is 'Bayespam.java'. It can be compiled with the command:

javac Bayespam.java

The resulting '.class' file can be executed with the command:

java Bayespam arg1 arg2

where $\arg 1 =$ the train directory, $\arg 2 =$ the test directory. The two cmd line arguments can be *train/test/* or vice versa. It is up to the user to decide, but always the first argument will be used for training and the second for testing.

The java program reads the training data, collects all the encountered words into a large vocabulary and separately counts how often a given word was encountered in regular mail (*counterRegular*) and in spam mail (*counterSpam*). The vocabulary is built using a hashtable. All the words from the vocabulary and their corresponding counts (*counterRegular* and *counterSpam*) are printed to standard output for visual inspection. As you will notice, the method for building up the vocabulary needs to be improved by:

The year will heree, the method for sunaling up the tocasarary net

- eliminating punctuation
- eliminating numerals
- converting all characters to lowercase
- eliminating all words with less than 4 letters

Your assignment consists in completing and improving the program.

2 Training stage

In this stage, the counts must be converted to probabilities (logprobabilities).

2.1 Computing a priori class probabilities

Count the number of regular mail messages:

$$nMessagesRegular = number of entries in the regular/directory$$
 (1)

Count the number of spam messages:

$$nMessagesSpam = number of entries in the spam/ directory$$
 (2)

Compute the total number of messages:

$$nMessagesTotal = nMessagesRegular + nMessagesSpam$$

$$(3)$$

Compute the prior probability for regular:

$$P(regular) = \frac{nMessagesRegular}{nMessagesTotal}$$
(4)

Compute the prior probability for spam:

$$P(spam) = \frac{nMessagesSpam}{nMessagesTotal}$$
(5)

2.2 Computing class conditional word likelihoods

Count the total number of words contained in the regular mail:

$$nWordsRegular = sum \ 'counterRegular' \ over \ all \ the \ words \ in \ the \ vocabulary$$
 (6)

Count the total number of words contained in the spam mail:

$$nWordsSpam = sum 'counterSpam' over all the words in the vocabulary$$
(7)

For every word w_j in the vocabulary compute two class conditional likelihoods:

$$P(w_j|regular) = \frac{counterRegular}{nWordsRegular}$$
(8)

$$P(w_j|spam) = \frac{counterSpam}{nWordsSpam}$$
(9)

Zero probabilities must be avoided, they occur when one word has been encountered only in regular, but not in spam, or vice versa. Zero probabilities must be replaced by an estimated small non-zero value, e.g.

$$\frac{\epsilon}{nWordsRegular + nWordsSpam} \tag{10}$$

The value ϵ can also be considered as a tuning parameter. Take $\epsilon = 1$ and then change it to see what effect it has on the final result.

2.3 From probabilities to logprobabilities

Convert all probabilities to logprobabilities (loglikelihoods) to avoid exceeding the dynamic range of the computer representation of real numbers (underflow). Apply the log function to all probabilities (prior and conditional). You may apply the log directly when you compute the probabilities as a ratio of two counts.

3 Testing stage

The classification performance must be evaluated on the test set.

3.1 Classifying a new message

Consider a new e-mail message 'msg' from the test set. Suppose that it contains the words $w_1, w_2 \dots w_n$ from the vocabulary. Words that are not in the vocabulary are simply ignored.

Compute the $a \ posteri$ class probabilities:

$$P(regular|msg) = P(regular|w_1, w_2...w_n)$$

$$(11)$$

$$= \alpha P(w_1, w_2...w_n | regular) P(regular)$$
(12)

$$= \alpha P(regular) P(w_1 | regular) P(w_2 | regular) \dots P(w_n | regular)$$
(13)

$$P(spam|msg) = P(spam|w_1, w_2...w_n)$$
(14)

$$= \alpha P(w_1, w_2 \dots w_n | spam) P(spam)$$
(15)

$$= \alpha P(spam)P(w_1|spam)P(w_2|spam)...P(w_n|spam)$$
(16)

Using the logprobabilities, the product becomes a sum:

 $logP(regular|msg) = \alpha + logP(regular) + logP(w_1|regular) + logP(w_2|regular) + \dots + logP(w_n|regular)$ (17)

$$logP(spam|msg) = \alpha + logP(spam) + logP(w_1|spam) + logP(w_2|spam) + \dots + logP(w_n|spam)$$
(18)
Classify the mail as regular if

$$logP(regular|msg) > logP(spam|msg)$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

otherwise classify it as spam.

3.2 Computing the performance on the test set

Compute how many of the regular mail messages are correctly classified as regular and also how many are wrongly classified as spam. Similarly for the spam messages. Build the confusion matrix.

4 Example runs

The Linux executable 'bayesian-text-classifier' is provided together with the data to have an example of how your program should perform. Run the following:

bayesian-text-classifier train/ test/

bayesian-text-classifier test/ train/

bayesian-text-classifier train/ train/

bayesian-text-classifier test/ test/

What happens if you train and test on the same data?

Observation: Put clear comments in the code to explain your implementation of the algorithms. Mark your comments with three slashes (i.e. this sign: ///) at the beginning of the lines to make them distinguishable.

5 Final questions (to be answered individually)

- 1) The data used in this assignment contains only e-mails in the English language. What happens if an e-mail in Dutch is given to your spam filter trained with English messages? How will the Dutch message be classified? Assume that there are no common words in English and Dutch. Explain your answer.
- 2) The Naive Bayes assumption is that the attributes (or features) are independent. Are the words in a message really independent? Explain your answer in 200 words.