

## References

- Anderson, J. R. (1976). *Language, Memory, and Thought*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. R. (1990). *The Adaptive Character of Thought*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. R. (2007). *How Can the Human Mind Occur in the Physical Universe?* New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, J. R., Bothell, D., Byrne, M. D., Douglass, S., Lebiere, C., & Qin, Y. (2004). An integrated theory of the mind. *Psychological Review*, *111*, 1036-1060.
- Anderson, J. R., Bothell, D., Lebiere, C., & Matessa, M. (1998). An integrated theory of list memory. *Journal of Memory and Language*, *38*, 341-380.
- Anderson, J. R., Fincham, J. M., Qin, Y., & Stocco, A. (2008). A central circuit of the mind. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *12*, 136-143.
- Anderson, J. R., & Lebiere, C. (1998). *The atomic components of thought*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Anderson, J. R., & Schooler, L. (1991). Reflections of the environment in memory. *Psychological Science*, *2*, 396-408.
- Arocha, J. F., & Patel, V. L. (1995). Construction-integration theory and clinical reasoning. In C. Weaver, S. Mannes & C. Fletcher (Eds.), *Discourse Comprehension: Essays in Honor of Walter Kintsch* (pp. 359-382). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Arocha, J. F., Wang, D., & Patel, V. L. (2005). Identifying reasoning strategies in medical decision making: A methodological guide. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, *38*, 154-171.
- Barrows, H., Norman, G., Neufeld, V., & Feightner, J. (1982). The clinical reasoning of randomly selected physicians in general medical practice. *Clinical and Investigative Medicine*, *5*, 49-55.
- Baumann, M. R. K. (2001). *Die Funktion des Arbeitsgedächtnisses beim abduktiven Schließen: Experimente zur Verfügbarkeit der mentalen Repräsentation erklärter und nicht erklärter Beobachtungen [The function of working memory in abductive reasoning: Experiments on the availability of the mental representation of explained and unexplained observations]*. Doctoral dissertation. Chemnitz University of Technology, Chemnitz. Available from <http://archiv.tu-chemnitz.de/pub/2001/0071>.
- Baumann, M. R. K., Krems, J. F., & Ritter, F. E. (2010). Learning from examples does not prevent order effects in belief revision. *Thinking & Reasoning*, *16*, 98-130.
- Baumann, M. R. K., Mehlhorn, K., & Bocklisch, F. (2007). The activation of hypotheses during abductive reasoning. In D. S. McNamara & J. G. Trafton (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 803-808). Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Bergert, F. B., & Nosofsky, R. M. (2007). A response-time approach to comparing generalized rational and take-the-best models of decision making. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *33*, 107-129.
- Berman, M., Jonides, J., & Lewis, R. (2009). In search of decay in verbal short-term memory. *Learning, Memory*, *35*, 317-333.
- Böhm, U., & Mehlhorn, K. (2009). The influence of spreading activation on memory retrieval in sequential diagnostic reasoning. In A. Howes, D. Peebles & R. Cooper

- (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling*. Manchester, UK.
- Borst, J. P., Taatgen, N. A., & van Rijn, H. (2010). The problem state: A cognitive bottleneck in multitasking. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition*, *36*, 363-382.
- Box, G. E. P. (1979). Robustness in the strategy of scientific model building. In R. L. Launer & G. N. Wilkinson (Eds.), *Robustness in Statistics*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Brandstätter, E., Gigerenzer, G., & Hertwig, R. (2006). The priority heuristic: Making choices without trade-offs. *Psychological Review*, *113*, 409-432.
- Bröder, A. (2003). Decision making with the "adaptive toolbox": Influence of environmental structure, intelligence, and working memory load. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *29*, 611-625.
- Bröder, A., & Eichler, A. (2006). The use of recognition information and additional cues in inferences from memory. *Acta Psychologica*, *121*, 275-284.
- Bröder, A., & Gaissmaier, W. (2007). Sequential processing of cues in memory-based multiattribute decisions. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *14*, 895-900.
- Bröder, A., & Schiffer, S. (2003). Take The Best versus simultaneous feature matching: Probabilistic inferences from memory and effects of representation format. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *132*, 277-293.
- Bröder, A., & Schiffer, S. (2006). Stimulus format and working memory in fast and frugal strategy selection. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, *19*, 361-380.
- Bruner, J. S. (1957). On perceptual readiness. *Psychological Review*, *64*, 123-152.
- Busemeyer, J. R., & Myung, I. J. (1992). An adaptive approach to human decision making: Learning theory, decision theory, and human performance. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *121*, 177-184.
- Busemeyer, J. R., & Townsend, J. T. (1993). Decision field theory: A dynamic-cognitive approach to decision making in an uncertain environment. *Psychological Review*, *100*, 432-459.
- Busemeyer, J. R., & Wang, Y. M. (2000). Model comparisons and model selections based on generalization criterion methodology. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *44*, 171-189.
- Bylander, T., Allemang, D., Tanner, M., & Josephson, J. (1991). The computational complexity of abduction. *Artificial Intelligence*, *49*, 25-60.
- Byrne, M. D., & Anderson, J. R. (2001). Serial modules in parallel: The psychological refractory period and perfect time-sharing. *Psychological Review*, *108*, 847-869.
- Chechile, R. A. (2003). Mathematical tools for hazard function analysis. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, *47*, 478-494.
- Chinn, C., & Brewer, W. (1998). An empirical test of a taxonomy of responses to anomalous data in science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *35*, 623-654.
- Chuderski, A., Stettner, Z., & Orzechowski, J. (2006). Modeling individual differences in a working memory search task. In D. Fum, F. Del Missier & A. Stocco (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (pp. 74-79). Trieste, Italy.

- Cokely, E. T., & Kelley, C. M. (2009). Cognitive abilities and superior decision making under risk: A protocol analysis and process model evaluation. *Judgment and Decision Making, 4*, 20-33.
- Cokely, E. T., Parpart, P., & Schooler, L. J. (2009). On the link between cognitive control and heuristic processes. In N. A. Taatgen & H. Van Rijn (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 31th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 2926–2931). Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Cowan, N. (2001). The magical number 4 in short-term memory: A reconsideration of mental storage capacity. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 24*, 87-185.
- Davis-Stober, C. P., Dana, J., & Budescu, D. V. (2010). Why recognition is rational: Optimality results on single-variable decision rules. *Judgment and Decision Making, 5*, 216-229.
- Dawes, R. M. (1979). The robust beauty of improper linear models in decision making. *American Psychologist, 34*, 571-582.
- Dawes, R. M., & Corrigan, B. (1974). Linear models in decision making. *Psychological Bulletin, 81*, 95-106.
- De Neys, W. (2006). Dual processing in reasoning. *Psychological Science, 17*, 428-433.
- Dijksterhuis, A., Bos, M. W., Nordgren, L. F., & Van Baaren, R. B. (2006). On making the right choice: The deliberation-without-attention effect. *Science, 311*, 1005.
- Dijksterhuis, A., & Nordgren, L. F. (2006). A theory of unconscious thought. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 1*, 95-109.
- Dougherty, M. R. P., Franco-Watkins, A. M., & Thomas, R. (2008). Psychological plausibility of the theory of probabilistic mental models and the fast and frugal heuristics. *Psychological Review, 115*, 199-213.
- Dougherty, M. R. P., Gettys, C. F., & Ogden, E. E. (1999). MINERVA-DM: A memory processes model for judgments of likelihood. *Psychological Review, 106*, 180-209.
- Dougherty, M. R. P., Gettys, C. F., & Thomas, R. P. (1997). The role of mental simulation in judgments of likelihood. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 70*, 135-148.
- Dougherty, M. R. P., & Hunter, J. E. (2003a). Hypothesis generation, probability judgment, and individual differences in working memory capacity. *Acta Psychologica, 113*, 263-282.
- Dougherty, M. R. P., & Hunter, J. E. (2003b). Probability judgment and subadditivity: The role of working memory capacity and constraining retrieval. *Memory & Cognition, 31*, 968-982.
- Dougherty, M. R. P., & Sprenger, A. M. (2006). The influence of improper sets of information on judgment: How irrelevant information can bias judged probability. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 135*, 262-281.
- Dougherty, M. R. P., Thomas, R., & Lange, N. (2010). Toward an integrative theory of hypothesis generation, probability judgment, and hypothesis testing. *Psychology of Learning and Motivation, 52*, 299-342.
- Drewitz, U., & Thüring, M. (2009). Modeling the confidence of predictions: A time based approach. In A. Howes, D. Peebles & R. Cooper (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 9th*

- International Conference of Cognitive Modeling*. Manchester, UK.
- Einhorn, H. J., & Hogarth, R. M. (1975). Unit weighting schemes for decision making. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 13, 171-192.
- Einhorn, H. J., Kleinmuntz, D. N., & Kleinmuntz, B. (1979). Linear regression and process-tracing models of judgment. *Psychological Review*, 86, 465-485.
- Elstein, A. S., Shulman, L. S., & Sprafka, S. A. (1978). *Medical Problem Solving: An Analysis of Clinical Reasoning*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Erdfelder, E., Küpper-Tetzl, C. E., & Mattern, S. D. (2011). Threshold models of recognition and the recognition heuristic. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 6, 7-22.
- Ericsson, K., & Kintsch, W. (1995). Long-term working memory. *Psychological Review*, 102, 211-244.
- Evans, J. S. B. T. (2006). The heuristic-analytic theory of reasoning: Extension and evaluation. *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, 13, 378-395.
- Evans, J. S. B. T. (2008). Dual-processing accounts of reasoning, judgment, and social cognition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 255-278.
- Fodor, J. A., & Pylyshyn, Z. W. (1988). Connectionism and cognitive architecture: A critical analysis. *Cognition*, 28, 3-71.
- Ford, J. K., Schmitt, N., Schechtman, S. L., Hulst, B. M., & Doherty, M. L. (1989). Process tracing methods: Contributions, problems, and neglected research questions. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 43, 75-117.
- Fum, D., Del Missier, F., & Stocco, A. (2007). The cognitive modeling of human behavior: Why a model is (sometimes) better than 10,000 words. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 8, 135-142.
- Gaissmaier, W., & Marewski, J. N. (2011). Forecasting elections with mere recognition from small, lousy samples: A comparison of collective recognition, wisdom of crowds, and representative polls. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 6, 73-88.
- Gaissmaier, W., Schooler, L. J., & Mata, R. (2008). An ecological perspective to cognitive limits: Modeling environment-mind interactions with ACT-R. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 3, 278-291.
- Gaissmaier, W., Schooler, L. J., & Rieskamp, J. (2006). Simple predictions fueled by capacity limitations: When are they successful? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 32, 966-982.
- Gettys, C., Pliske, R., Manning, C., & Casey, J. (1987). An evaluation of human act generation performance. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 39, 23-51.
- Gigerenzer, G. (1996). On narrow norms and vague heuristics: A reply to Kahneman and Tversky. *Psychological Review*, 103, 592-596.
- Gigerenzer, G. (1998). Surrogates for theories. *Theory and Psychology*, 8, 195-204.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Brighton, H. (2009). Homo heuristicus: Why biased minds make better inferences. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 1, 107-143.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Goldstein, D. G. (1996). Reasoning the fast and frugal way: Models of bounded rationality. *Psychological Review*, 103, 650-669.
- Gigerenzer, G., & Goldstein, D. G. (2011). The recognition heuristic: A decade of research. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 6, 100-121.

- Gigerenzer, G., Hoffrage, U., & Goldstein, D. G. (2008). Fast and frugal heuristics are plausible models of cognition: Reply to Dougherty, Franco-Watkins, and Thomas (2008). *Psychological Review*, *115*, 230.
- Gigerenzer, G., Hoffrage, U., & Kleinbölting, H. (1991). Probabilistic mental models: A Brunswikian theory of confidence. *Psychological Review*, *98*, 506-528.
- Glöckner, A., & Betsch, T. (2008). Modeling option and strategy choices with connectionist networks: Towards an integrative model of automatic and deliberate decision making. *Judgement and Decision Making*, *3*, 215-228.
- Glöckner, A., & Bröder, A. (2011). Processing of recognition information and additional cues: A model-based analysis of choice, confidence, and response time. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *6*, 23-42.
- Glöckner, A., & Hodges, S. D. (2011). Parallel constraint satisfaction in memory-based decisions. *Experimental Psychology (formerly Zeitschrift für Experimentelle Psychologie)*, *58*, 180-195.
- Gluck, K. A. (2010). Cognitive architectures for human factors in aviation. In E. Salas & D. Maurino (Eds.), *Human Factors in Aviation, 2nd Edition* (pp. 375-400). New York, NY: Elsevier.
- Gluck, K. A., Ball, J. T., & Krusmark, M. A. (2007). Cognitive control in a computational model of the Predator pilot. In W. D. Gray (Ed.), *Integrated Models of Cognitive Systems* (pp. 13-28). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Gold, J. I., & Shadlen, M. N. (2007). The neural basis of decision making. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *30*, 535-574.
- Goldstein, D. G., & Gigerenzer, G. (2002). Models of ecological rationality: The recognition heuristic. *Psychological Review*, *109*, 75-90.
- Goldstein, D. G., & Gigerenzer, G. (2011). The beauty of simple models: Themes in recognition heuristic research. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *6*, 392-395.
- Grainger, J., & Jacobs, A. M. (1996). Orthographic processing in visual word recognition: A multiple read-out model. *Psychological Review*, *103*, 518-565.
- Gronlund, S. D., & Ratcliff, R. (1989). Time course of item and associative information: Implications for global memory models. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *15*, 846-858.
- Hagmeyer, Y., & Waldmann, M. R. (2002). A constraint satisfaction model of causal learning and reasoning. In W. D. Gray & C. D. Schunn (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 405-410). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Hauser, J. R., & Wernerfelt, B. (1990). An evaluation cost model of consideration sets. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *16*, 393-408.
- Hertwig, R., Herzog, S., Schooler, L., & Reimer, T. (2008). Fluency heuristic: A model of how the mind exploits a by-product of information retrieval. *Learning, Memory*, *34*, 1191-1206.
- Higgins, E. T. (1996). Knowledge activation: Accessibility, applicability, and salience. In E. T. Higgins & A. Kruglanski (Eds.), *Social psychology: Handbook of basic principles* (pp. 133-168). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Hilbig, B. E. (2008). Individual differences in fast-and-frugal decision making:



- Neuroticism and the recognition heuristic. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 42, 1641-1645.
- Hilbig, B. E., Erdfelder, E., & Pohl, R. F. (2010). One-reason decision making unveiled: A measurement model of the recognition heuristic. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 36, 123-134.
- Hilbig, B. E., & Pohl, R. F. (2009). Ignorance- versus evidence-based decision making: A decision time analysis of the recognition heuristic. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 35, 1296-1305.
- Hintzman, D. L., & Curran, T. (1994). Retrieval dynamics of recognition and frequency judgments: Evidence for separate processes of familiarity and recall. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 1-18.
- Hochman, G., Ayal, S., & Glöckner, A. (2010). Physiological arousal in processing recognition information: Ignoring or integrating cognitive cues. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 5, 285-299.
- Hoffrage, U. (2011). Recognition judgments and the performance of the recognition heuristic depend on the size of the reference class. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 6, 43-57.
- Hogarth, R. M., & Einhorn, H. J. (1992). Order effects in belief updating: The belief-adjustment model. *Cognitive Psychology*, 24, 1-55.
- Huber, O. (1989). Information-processing operators in decision making. In H. Montgomery & O. Svenson (Eds.), *Process and Structure in Human Decision Making* (pp. 3-21). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Jacobs, A. M., & Grainger, J. (1994). Models of visual word recognition: Sampling the state of the art. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 20, 1311-1334.
- Jacoby, L. L., & Dallas, M. (1981). On the relationship between autobiographical memory and perceptual learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 110, 306-340.
- Johnson, E. J., Schulte-Mecklenbeck, M., & Willemsen, M. C. (2008). Process models deserve process data: Comment on Brandstätter, Gigerenzer, and Hertwig (2006). *Psychological Review*, 115, 263-272.
- Johnson, T. R., & Krems, J. F. (2001). Use of current explanations in multicausal abductive reasoning. *Cognitive Science*, 25, 903-939.
- Jonides, J., Lewis, R. L., Nee, D. E., Lustig, C. A., Berman, M. G., & Moore, K. S. (2008). The mind and brain of short-term memory. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 193-224.
- Joseph, G., & Patel, V. (1990). Domain knowledge and hypothesis generation in diagnostic reasoning. *Medical Decision Making*, 10, 31-44.
- Josephson, J. R., & Josephson, S. G. (1996). *Abductive Inference: Computation, Philosophy, Technology*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Just, M., & Carpenter, P. (1987). *The Psychology of Reading and Language Comprehension*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Kahneman, D. (2003). A perspective on judgment and choice: Mapping bounded rationality. *American Psychologist*, 58, 697-720.

- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. *Science*, *185*, 1124-1131.
- Keren, G., & Schul, Y. (2009). Two is not always better than one: A critical evaluation of two-system theories. *Perspectives in Psychological Science*, *4*, 533-550.
- Kim, N., & Keil, F. (2003). From symptoms to causes: Diversity effects in diagnostic reasoning. *Memory & Cognition*, *31*, 155-165.
- Kintsch, W. (1998). *Comprehension: A Paradigm for Cognition*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Klahr, D., & Dunbar, K. (1988). Dual space search during scientific reasoning. *Cognitive Science*, *12*, 1-48.
- Klein, J. G. (2005). Five pitfalls in decisions about diagnosis and prescribing. *British Medical Journal*, *330*, 781-783.
- Koriat, A. (1993). How do we know that we know? The accessibility model of the feeling of knowing. *Psychological Review*, *100*, 609-639.
- Lebiere, C., & Anderson, J. R. (1993). A connectionist implementation of the ACT-R production system *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 635-640). New York, NY: Erlbaum.
- Lee, M., & Cummins, T. (2004). Evidence accumulation in decision making: Unifying the 'take the best' and the 'rational' models. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *11*, 343-352.
- Lewandowsky, S. (1993). The rewards and hazards of computer simulations. *Psychological Science*, *4*, 236-243.
- Lewandowsky, S., Oberauer, K., & Brown, G. D. A. (2009). No temporal decay in verbal short-term memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *13*, 120-126.
- Logan, G. D. (1988). Toward an instance theory of automatization. *Psychological Review*, *95*, 492-527.
- Lovett, M. C., & Anderson, J. R. (1996). History of success and current context in problem solving. *Cognitive Psychology*, *31*, 168-217.
- Lovett, M. C., Daily, L. Z., & Reder, L. M. (2000). A source activation theory of working memory: Cross-task prediction of performance in ACT-R. *Cognitive Systems Research*, *1*, 99-118.
- Lyon, D. R., Gunzelmann, G., & Gluck, K. A. (2008). A computational model of spatial visualization capacity. *Cognitive Psychology*, *57*, 122-152.
- Marewski, J. N. (2008). *Ecologically Rational Strategy Selection*. Doctoral Dissertation, Free University, Berlin, Germany.
- Marewski, J. N. (2010). On the theoretical precision and strategy selection problem of a single strategy approach: A comment on Glöckner, Betsch, and Schindler (2010). *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, *23*, 463-467.
- Marewski, J. N., Gaissmaier, W., & Gigerenzer, G. (2010a). Good judgments do not require complex cognition. *Cognitive Processing*, *11*, 103-121.
- Marewski, J. N., Gaissmaier, W., & Gigerenzer, G. (2010b). We favor formal models of heuristics rather than lists of loose dichotomies: A reply to Evans and Over. *Cognitive processing*, *11*, 177-179.
- Marewski, J. N., Gaissmaier, W., Schooler, L. J., Goldstein, D. G., & Gigerenzer, G.

- (2009). Do voters use episodic knowledge to rely on recognition? In N. A. Taatgen & H. van Rijn (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 2232–2237). Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Marewski, J. N., Gaissmaier, W., Schooler, L. J., Goldstein, D. G., & Gigerenzer, G. (2010). From recognition to decisions: Extending and testing recognition-based models for multialternative inference. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *17*, 287–309.
- Marewski, J. N. & Mehlhorn, K. (2011). Using the ACT-R architecture to specify 39 quantitative process models of decision making. *Judgment and Decision Making*, *6*, 439–519.
- Marewski, J. N., & Olsson, H. (2009). Beyond the null ritual: Formal modeling of psychological processes. *Journal of Psychology*, *217*, 49–60.
- Marewski, J. N., Pohl, R. F., & Vitouch, O. (2010). Special Issue: Recognition processes in inferential decision making. *Judgement and Decision Making*, *5*.
- Marewski, J. N., Pohl, R. F., & Vitouch, O. (2011a). Special Issue: Recognition processes in inferential decision making (II). *Judgement and Decision Making*, *6*.
- Marewski, J. N., Pohl, R. F., & Vitouch, O. (2011b). Special Issue: Recognition processes in inferential decision making (III). *Judgement and Decision Making*, *6*.
- Marewski, J. N., & Schooler, L. J. (2011). Cognitive niches: An ecological model of strategy selection. *Psychological Review*, *118*, 393–437.
- Marewski, J. N., Schooler, L. J., & Gigerenzer, G. (2010). Five principles for studying people's use of heuristics. *Acta Psychologica Sinica*, *42*, 72–87.
- Mata, R., Schooler, L. J., & Rieskamp, J. (2007). The aging decision maker: Cognitive aging and the adaptive selection of decision strategies. *Psychology and Aging*, *22*, 796–810.
- McCloy, R., Beaman, C. P., & Smith, P. T. (2008). The Relative Success of Recognition Based Inference in Multichoice Decisions. *Cognitive Science*, *32*, 1037–1048.
- McElree, B., Dolan, P. O., & Jacoby, L. L. (1999). Isolating the contributions of familiarity and source information to item recognition: A time course analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *25*, 563–582.
- Mehle, T. (1982). Hypothesis generation in an automobile malfunction inference task. *Acta Psychologica*, *52*, 87–106.
- Mehlhorn, K., Baumann, M. R. K., & Bocklisch, F. (2008). Activation or inhibition? Why reasoners are not blind for alternative explanations. In B. C. Love, K. McRae, & V. M. Sloutsky (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Cognitive Science Society*, (pp. 2083–2088). Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Mehlhorn, K., & Jahn, G. (2009). Modeling sequential information integration with parallel constraint satisfaction. In N. A. Taatgen & H. van Rijn (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 2469–2474). Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Mehlhorn, K., Taatgen, N. A., Lebiere, C., & Krems, J. F. (2011). Memory activation and the availability of explanations in sequential diagnostic reasoning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, *37*, 1391–1411.
- Meyer, D. E., & Kieras, D. E. (1997). A computational theory of executive cognitive processes and multiple-task performance: Part 1. Basic mechanisms. *Psychological*



- Review*, 104, 3–65.
- Meyer, D. E., & Schvaneveldt, R. W. (1971). Facilitation in recognizing pairs of words: Evidence of a dependence between retrieval operations. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 90, 227–234.
- Nellen, S. (2003). The use of the “take-the-best” heuristic under different conditions, modeled with ACT-R. In F. Detje, D. Dörner & H. Schaub (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Cognitive Modeling* (pp. 171–176). Bamberg: Universitätsverlag Bamberg.
- Newell, A. (1973). You can’t play 20 questions with nature and win: Projective comments on the papers of this symposium. In W. G. Chase (Ed.), *Visual Information Processing* (pp. 283–308). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Newell, A. (1990). *Unified Theories of Cognition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Newell, A. (1992). SOAR as a unified theory of cognition: Issues and explanations. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 15, 464–492.
- Newell, B. R. (2005). Re-visions of rationality? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 9, 11–15.
- Newell, B. R., & Fernandez, D. (2006). On the binary quality of recognition and the inconsequentiality of further knowledge: Two critical tests of the recognition heuristic. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 19, 333–346.
- Newell, B. R., & Lee, M. D. (in press). The right tool for the job? Comparing an evidence accumulation and a naïve strategy selection model of decision making. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*.
- Newell, B. R., & Shanks, D. R. (2004). On the role of recognition in decision making. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 30, 923–935.
- Newell, B. R., Weston, N. J., & Shanks, D. R. (2003). Empirical tests of a fast-and-frugal heuristic: Not everyone “takes-the-best”. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 91, 82–96.
- Nosofsky, R. M., & Bergert, F. B. (2007). Limitations of exemplar models of multi-attribute probabilistic inference. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 33, 999–1019.
- Oberauer, K. (2002). Access to information in working memory: Exploring the focus of attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 28, 411–421.
- Oberauer, K., & Lewandowsky, S. (2008). Forgetting in immediate serial recall: Decay, temporal distinctiveness, or interference? *Psychological Review*, 115, 544–576.
- Oeusoonthornwattana, O., & Shanks, D. R. (2010). I like what I know: Is recognition a non-compensatory determiner of consumer choice? *Judgment and Decision Making*, 5, 310–325.
- Oppenheimer, D. M. (2003). Not so fast! (and not so frugal!): Rethinking the recognition heuristic. *Cognition*, 90, B1–B9.
- Pachur, T. (2010). Recognition-based inference: When is less more in the real world? *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 17, 589–598.
- Pachur, T. (2011). The limited value of precise tests of the recognition heuristic. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 6, 413–422.

- Pachur, T., & Biele, G. (2007). Forecasting from ignorance: The use and usefulness of recognition in lay predictions of sports events. *Acta Psychologica, 125*, 99-116.
- Pachur, T., Bröder, A., & Marewski, J. N. (2008). The recognition heuristic in memory based inference: Is recognition a non compensatory cue? *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 21*, 183-210.
- Pachur, T., & Hertwig, R. (2006). On the psychology of the recognition heuristic: Retrieval primacy as a key determinant of its use. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 32*, 983-1002.
- Pachur, T., Mata, R., & Schooler, L. J. (2009). Cognitive aging and the adaptive use of recognition in decision making. *Psychology and Aging, 24*, 901-915.
- Pachur, T., Todd, P. M., Gigerenzer, G., Schooler, L. J., & Goldstein, D. G. (2011). The recognition heuristic: A review of theory and tests. *Frontiers in Cognitive Science, 2*, 1-14.
- Payne, J. W., Bettman, J. R., & Johnson, E. J. (1988). Adaptive strategy selection in decision making. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 14*, 534-552.
- Payne, J. W., Bettman, J. R., & Johnson, E. J. (1993). *The Adaptive Decision Maker*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Pitt, M., Myung, I., & Zhang, S. (2002). Toward a method of selecting among computational models of cognition. *Psychological Review, 109*, 472-491.
- Pleskac, T. J. (2007). A signal detection analysis of the recognition heuristic. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14*, 379-391.
- Pohl, R. F. (2006). Empirical tests of the recognition heuristic. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 19*, 251-271.
- Pohl, R. F. (2011). On the use of recognition in inferential decision making: An overview of the debate. *Judgment and Decision Making, 6*, 423-438.
- Ratcliff, R., & Smith, P. L. (2004). A comparison of sequential sampling models for two-choice reaction time. *Psychological Review, 111*, 333-367.
- Reimer, T., & Katsikopoulos, K. V. (2004). The use of recognition in group decision-making. *Cognitive Science, 28*, 1009-1029.
- Richter, T., & Späth, P. (2006). Recognition is used as one cue among others in judgment and decision making. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 32*, 150-162.
- Rieskamp, J., & Hoffrage, U. (1999). When do people use simple heuristics, and how can we tell? In G. Gigerenzer, P. M. Todd, & the ABC Research Group (Eds.), *Simple Heuristics that Make us Smart* (pp. 141-167). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Rieskamp, J., & Hoffrage, U. (2008). Inferences under time pressure: How opportunity costs affect strategy selection. *Acta Psychologica, 127*, 258-276.
- Rieskamp, J., & Otto, P. E. (2006). SSL: A theory of how people learn to select strategies. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 135*, 207-236.
- Ritter, S., Anderson, J. R., Koedinger, K. R., & Corbett, A. (2007). Cognitive Tutor: Applied research in mathematics education. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14*, 249-255.

- Roberts, S., & Pashler, H. (2000). How persuasive is a good fit? A comment on theory testing. *Psychological Review*, *107*, 358-367.
- Rouder, J. N., Speckman, P. L., Sun, D., Morey, R. D., & Iverson, G. (2009). Bayesian *t* tests for accepting and rejecting the null hypothesis. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, *16*, 225-237.
- Rumelhart, D. E., McClelland, J. L., & the PDP Research Group. (1986). *Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition*. (Vol. 1). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Salvucci, D. D. (2006). Modeling driver behavior in a cognitive architecture. *Human Factors*, *48*, 362-380.
- Salvucci, D. D., & Taatgen, N. A. (2008). Threaded cognition: An integrated theory of concurrent multitasking. *Psychological Review*, *115*, 101-130.
- Scheibehenne, B., & Bröder, A. (2007). Predicting Wimbledon 2005 tennis results by mere player name recognition. *International Journal of Forecasting*, *23*, 415-426.
- Schooler, L., & Hertwig, R. (2005). How forgetting aids heuristic inference. *Psychological Review*, *112*, 610-628.
- Schulte-Mecklenbeck, M., Kühberger, A., & Ranyard, R. (2010). *A Handbook of Process Tracing Methods for Decision Research*. New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
- Sedlmeier, P., & Gigerenzer, G. (2001). Teaching Bayesian reasoning in less than two hours. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, *130*, 380-400.
- Sprenger, A. M. (2007). *Sequential Hypothesis Generation*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Maryland, College Park. Available from ProQuest Digital Dissertations database (UMI AAT 3260299).
- Sprenger, A. M., & Dougherty, M. R. P. (2006). Differences between probability and frequency judgments: The role of individual differences in working memory capacity. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *99*, 202-211.
- Taatgen, N. A., & Anderson, J. R. (2002). Why do children learn to say “broke”? A model of learning the past tense without feedback. *Cognition*, *86*, 123-155.
- Thagard, P. (1989a). Explanatory coherence. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *12*, 435-467.
- Thagard, P. (1989b). Extending explanatory coherence. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *12*, 490-502.
- Thagard, P. (2000). Probabilistic networks and explanatory coherence. *Cognitive Science Quarterly*, *1*, 93-116.
- Thagard, P., Kunda, Z., Read, S. J., & Miller, L. C. (1998). Making sense of people: Coherence mechanisms. In S. J. Read and L. C. Miller (Eds.), *Connectionist models of social reasoning and social behavior*. (pp. 3-26). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Thagard, P., & Shelley, C. P. (1997). Abductive reasoning: Logic, visual thinking, and coherence. In M.-L. Dalla Chiara (Ed.), *Logic and scientific methods* (pp. 413-427). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Thomas, R. P., Dougherty, M. R. P., Sprenger, A. M., & Harbison, J. I. (2008). Diagnostic hypothesis generation and human judgment. *Psychological Review*, *115*, 155-185.
- Tomlinson, T., Marewski, J. N., & Dougherty, M. R. P. (2011). Four challenges for cognitive research on the recognition heuristic and a call for a research strategy

- shift. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 6, 89-99.
- Tversky, A. (1972). Elimination by aspects: A theory of choice. *Psychological Review*, 79, 281-299.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1973). Availability: A heuristic for judging frequency and probability. *Cognitive Psychology*, 5, 207-232.
- Vallesi, A., Shallice, T., & Walsh, V. (2007). Role of the prefrontal cortex in the foreperiod effect: TMS evidence for dual mechanisms in temporal preparation. *Cerebral Cortex*, 17, 466-474.
- Van Maanen, L., & Marewski, J. N. (2009). Recommender systems for literature selection: A competition between decision making and memory models. In N. A. Taatgen & H. Van Rijn (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society* (pp. 2914-2919). Austin, TX: Cognitive Science Society.
- Volz, K. G., Schooler, L. J., Schubotz, R. I., Raab, M., Gigerenzer, G., & Von Cramon, D. Y. (2006). Why you think Milan is larger than Modena: Neural correlates of the recognition heuristic. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 18, 1924-1936.
- von Helversen, B., & Rieskamp, J. (2008). The mapping model: A cognitive theory of quantitative estimation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 137, 73-96.
- Wang, H., Johnson, T. R., & Zhang, J. (2006a). A hybrid system of abductive tactical decision making. *International Journal of Hybrid Intelligent Systems*, 3, 23-33.
- Wang, H., Johnson, T. R., & Zhang, J. (2006b). The order effect in human abductive reasoning: An empirical and computational study. *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence*, 18, 215-247.
- Weber, E., Böckenholt, U., Hilton, D., & Wallace, B. (1993). Determinants of diagnostic hypothesis generation: Effects of information, base rates, and experience. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 19, 1151-1164.